

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/997,023	11/30/2001	R. Sam Niedbala	044170-5034	6134
22204 NIVON DE A R	7590 10/02/2007		EXAM	INER
NIXON PEABODY, LLP 401 9TH STREET, NW			ALEXANDER, LYLE	
SUITE 900 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20004-2128		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1743	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/997,023	NIEDBALA ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Lyle A. Alexander	1743		
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SH WHII - Exte afte - If NO - Faili Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Does not soft ime may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the state of the state	N. imely filed not the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status			,		
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ju	<u>ıly 2007</u> .			
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6,7,9,12-23,25,46-52 and 73-77</u>	is/are pending in the application	1.		
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw				
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-2,4,6-7,9,12-23,25,46-52,73-77</u> is/a	re rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:		ı)-(d) or (f).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents		·		
	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li></ul>				
	application from the International Bureau		ed in this National Stage		
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	` ''	ed		
Attachmen		_			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D			
3) 🔲 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/997,023

Art Unit: 1743

Applicants' amendments and remarks have overcome the 3/28/07 rejections.

Upon up dating the search and reviewing file, a new reference will be applied below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-2,4,6-7,9,12-23,25,46-52,73-76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Shah (USP 4,014,322)[cited by Applicants in the 6/18/03 PTOL-1449].

Shah teach a device(20) for the collection of urine samples comprising a chamber(28), a lid(34) having aperture(38), elongated shaft(40), absorbent/sponge(56) attached to shaft(40) and plate(50) attached to the bottom of the shaft(40) below the absorbent/sponge(56). Absorbent/sponge(56) has a first size prior to sample application where it is the width of slot(52) and a second larger size when the sample is applied that is larger than the distance between slot(52) and slot(54). Sample is expressed from absorbent/sponge(56) by pulling the shaft(40) through the aperture(38) such that the absorbent/sponge(56) is squeezed against the lid(38) and the plate(50).

The claimed "a retaining portion having a retaining portion first end operably connected to a retaining portion second end, the retaining portion first end being coupled to the holding portion second end and the retaining portion second end being movable relative to the holding portion second end, the retaining portion having at least an extended size and a contracted size, the sizes being defined by a distance between

**Art Unit: 1743** 

the retaining portion second and the holding portion first end" has been read on the above arrangement of the chamber(28), lid(34) having aperture(38), elongated shaft(40), absorbent/sponge(56) attached to shaft(40) and plate(50) attached to the bottom of the shaft(40) below the absorbent/sponge(56).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 77 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shah.

See Shah supra.

Shah is silent to the claimed " ... perforated disk-like piece formed at a distal end ... " .

The court decided In re Boesch (205 USPQ 215) that optimization of a result effective variable is ordinarily within the skill of the art. A result effective variable is one that has predictable and well-known effects. The choice of making a piece for expressing fluid from a solid piece or a perforated piece would have the well known and predictable results of facilitating the expression of the fluid. Furthermore, it would have been desirable to make a perforated disk to save weight and require less material to construct a perforated disk than a solid disk.

It would have been within the skill of the art to modify Shah and use a perforated disk instead of a solid disk as optimization of a result effective variable and to gain the above advantages.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2,4,6-7,9,12-23,25,46-52,73-77 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Laauwe (USP 4,596,157) and Lennon et al. (USP 4,963,325) teach collecting a biological sample with an absorbent member and subsequently squeezing or expressing the collected sample for further analysis. Both of these references fail to teach or suggest the claimed "... the retaining portion second end being movable relative to the holding portion second end ...". Additionally, with respect to claim 77, these references fail to teach or suggest the claimed retaining portion is an elongate member having a proximal end adjacent the holding portion second end and a perforated disc-like piece formed at a distal end, the perforated disc-like piece comprising the wall, wherein the collector member is made from a fluid absorbing material that is movable along, the elongate member when the collector member has the first collection size".

Wu et al. (USP 7,114,4033) is not available as prior art, but teaches a fluid sampling device comprising an absorbent member and a perforated disk that expresses the sample from the absorbent member.

Application/Control Number: 09/997,023

Art Unit: 1743

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1254. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743 Page 5